

Contrasting effects of climate change on seasonal survival of a hibernating mammal

Cordes, Line; Blumstein, Daniel; Armitage, Kenneth; CaraDonna, Paul; Childs, Dylan; Gerber, Brian; Martin, Julien; Oli, Madan; Ozgul, Arpat

PNAS

DOI:

[10.1073/pnas.1918584117](https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1918584117)

Published: 28/07/2020

Peer reviewed version

[Cyswllt i'r cyhoeddiad / Link to publication](#)

Dyfyniad o'r fersiwn a gyhoeddwyd / Citation for published version (APA):

Cordes, L., Blumstein, D., Armitage, K., CaraDonna, P., Childs, D., Gerber, B., Martin, J., Oli, M., & Ozgul, A. (2020). Contrasting effects of climate change on seasonal survival of a hibernating mammal. *PNAS*, 117(30), 18119-18126. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1918584117>

Hawliau Cyffredinol / General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the public portal are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights.

- Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the public portal for the purpose of private study or research.
- You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the public portal ?

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us providing details, and we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

Contrasting effects of climate change on seasonal survival of a
hibernating mammal

Line S. Cordes¹, Daniel T. Blumstein^{2,3}, Kenneth B. Armitage⁴, Paul J. CaraDonna^{3,5}, Dylan
Z. Childs⁶, Brian D. Gerber⁷, Julien G.A. Martin⁸, Madan Oli⁹ & Arpat Ozgul¹⁰

¹School of Ocean Sciences, College of Natural Sciences, Bangor University, Menai Bridge,
LL59 5AB, UK.

²Department of Ecology and Evolutionary Biology, University of California Los Angeles, Los
Angeles, CA 90095, USA.

³The Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory, Crested Butte, CO, 81224, USA.

⁴Ecology & Evolutionary Biology Department, The University of Kansas, Lawrence, KS
66045-7534, USA.

⁵Chicago Botanic Garden, Glencoe, IL, 60022, USA

⁶Department of Animal and Plant Sciences, University of Sheffield, Sheffield, S10 2TN, UK.

⁷Department of Natural Resources Science, University of Rhode Island, Kingston, RI 02881,
USA.

⁸Department of Biology, University of Ottawa, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5, Canada

⁹Department of Wildlife Ecology and Conservation, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL
32611, USA

¹⁰Department of Evolutionary Biology and Environmental Studies, University of Zurich, CH-
8057, Zurich, Switzerland.

* Correspondence: Line Cordes; phone: +44(0)1248 383503

Email: l.cordes@bangor.ac.uk

Competing interest statement: The authors declare no competing interests.

Keywords

Marmota flaviventer, demography, environmental conditions, mark-recapture, individual-based.

Author Contributions

Line S Cordes: Lead author. Made substantial contributions to conception, design, acquisition of data, analysis, figures and interpretation of data; drafted and revised the manuscript.

Kenneth B Armitage: Provided fundamental insight and knowledge on the study species and system. Involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Daniel T Blumstein: Provided fundamental insight and knowledge on the study species and system. Substantial contribution to the conception and design; involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Paul CaraDonna: Provided fundamental insight and knowledge on the study system. Substantial contribution to the development and design of key figures; involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Dylan Z Childs: Substantial contribution to the analysis and interpretation of data; involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Brian D Gerber: Substantial contribution to the analysis and interpretation of data; involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Julien GA Martin: Contribution to collation of data. Involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Madan Oli: Involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Arpat Ozgul: Substantial contribution to the conception, design, analysis and interpretation of data; involved in revising the manuscript for important intellectual content.

Abstract

Seasonal environmental conditions shape the behavior and life history of virtually all organisms. Climate change is modifying these seasonal environmental conditions, which threatens to disrupt population dynamics. It is conceivable that climatic changes may be beneficial in one season, but resulting in detrimental conditions in another because life history strategies vary between these time periods. We analyzed the temporal trends in seasonal survival of yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventer*) and explored the environmental drivers using a 40-year dataset from the Colorado Rocky Mountains (USA). Trends in survival revealed divergent seasonal patterns, which were similar across age-classes. Marmot survival declined during winter, but generally increased during summer. Interestingly, different environmental factors appeared to drive survival trends across age-classes. Winter survival was largely driven by conditions during the preceding summer, and the effect of continued climate change was likely to be mainly negative, whereas the likely outcome of continued climate change on summer survival was generally positive. This study illustrates that seasonal demographic responses need disentangling to accurately forecast the impacts of climate change on animal population dynamics.

Significance statement

Climate change is altering the seasonal environmental conditions to which animals have adapted, but the outcome may differ between seasons for a particular species. Demographic responses therefore need disentangling on a seasonal basis to make accurate forecasts. Our study shows that climate change is causing seasonally divergent demographic responses in a hibernating mammal. Continued climate change will likely have a positive effect on summer survival, but a negative effect on winter survival. This potentially has wide

81 ranging consequences across other species occupying temperate to more extreme arctic
82 and alpine habitats, which is also where the most rapid changes in climate are observed.

84 **Introduction**

85 Seasonality is a fundamental driver of ecosystem structure and function (1), and plays a
86 dynamic role in shaping the behaviour and life history of many species (e.g., 2, 3). Animals
87 occupying temperate, arctic and alpine environments experience distinct cyclic variation in
88 biotic and abiotic factors, and have evolved seasonal life-history strategies for coping with
89 the changing conditions. This includes short but mild summers characterised by peaks in
90 resource availability where animals give birth to young and forage to gain fitness, and long
91 often harsh winters with food shortages where animals largely depend on fat reserves for
92 energy and in extreme cases go into hibernation (4, 5). However, climate change is altering
93 the seasonal conditions to which plants and animals are accustomed (e.g., 1, 6).
94 Temperatures are increasing, winter snowfall is declining, snow is melting earlier, growing
95 seasons are extending, and the frequency of extreme events (e.g., droughts) are on the rise
96 (7-11, 6). This has resulted in advancing phenologies (including earlier flowering of plants
97 and earlier emergence of hibernating animals; 12), range shifts (13), and changes to species
98 interactions and communities (14). Less well known, but of critical importance, are the direct
99 demographic consequences of a changing climate (e.g., changes in age-specific survival or
100 fecundity).

101 Animals most likely to be affected by climate change include high latitude and high
102 elevation species which experience distinct seasonal phases but which are restricted by
103 natural boundaries limiting shifts in distribution (horizontal or vertical). While changes in adult
104 survival will likely have the biggest impact on the population dynamics of long-lived
105 mammals, this life history stage also appears more robust to environmental perturbations,
106 whereas survival of younger age classes may be more sensitive and immediate indicators of
107 the effects of climate change (15, 16). However, long-term individual-based data are
108 required over multiple decades to detect trends in survival in response to climate change.

Furthermore, long-term data on individual fitness-related traits (such as body mass) are essential because such traits are closely linked with environmental conditions and act as a proxy for an individual's collective past experience (both environmental and social; 17). Species occupying extreme and highly seasonal environments can undergo significant fluctuations in body mass (e.g., 18- 21).

Climate change could conceivably result in seasonally variable demographic responses (see 1). For example, increasing temperatures may reduce foraging time for certain species in summer to avoid overheating (e.g., 22-24), but may improve survival during winter in harsh environments. A reduction in precipitation will increase the frequency of summer droughts (11), reducing forage availability for herbivores, whereas a reduction in snowfall during winter could lower locomotion costs and improve forage accessibility (25). Paradoxically, for species that are highly adapted to harsh environments, warmer winter and spring temperatures, and a reduction in snowpack could result in animals freezing to death due to a lack of insulation (26). Warming temperatures have caused species-specific shifts in plant phenology resulting in an extended growing season (7, 27-28). This may allow herbivores more time to gain weight before winter, potentially resulting in demographic or fitness related benefits. Due to its distinct circannual life history, highly seasonal montane habitat, and sensitivity to seasonal environmental conditions, yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*) are a sentinel species for disentangling the seasonal impacts of climate change on demography. Marmots are too small to store sufficient fat to remain active during winter and therefore hibernate for about 8 months during this long period of food scarcity relying solely on fat reserves for energy (4, 29). Nevertheless, marmots go through distinct seasonal body mass cycles where adults can lose nearly 40% of weight from peak to minimal mass (30). Yellow-bellied marmots are found in western North America where climate change is more evident than anywhere else on the continent (apart from the Arctic; 11). In particular, there has been a significant reduction in late-season snowpack, a rise in temperatures, an increase in precipitation intensity, an increase in the severity of droughts, and pervasive plant mortality (10-11). Marmots have shown a temporal increase in body

mass and a subsequent increase in adult survival, which was hypothesized to be caused by an extended foraging season (31). However, the mechanistic link between seasonal survival, body size and environmental change has not yet been directly evaluated.

A growing literature has identified the importance of seasonally different demographic responses to climatic conditions (birds: 32, 33; fish: 34; plants: 35; mammals: 36-38). Here we analyzed the temporal trends in seasonal survival and explored the environmental drivers of patterns in survival. We use long-term data (1979-2018) collected from a population of yellow-bellied marmots living in the Colorado Rocky Mountains, USA, to investigate three questions: (1) are phenomenological trends in animal survival consistent across seasons (i.e., winter and summer); (2) are these trends in animal survival consistent across different age classes (i.e., pup, sub-adult, adult); and (3) what are the underlying mechanistic drivers (i.e., environmental conditions) of any such variation in survival responses. Understanding seasonal demographic responses to climate change improves our understanding of population dynamics and is crucial for accurately forecasting population change and conserving biodiversity.

Results

Phenomenological trends in survival

Across age-classes temporal trends in seasonal survival were generally increasing in summer and declining in winter (Fig. 1). This was more pronounced for the younger age-classes, namely pups and yearlings. Pup summer survival showed a convex trend in survival with 95% confidence limits just overlapping zero. Winter pup survival showed a significant convex trend, although survival mainly declined from the middle of the study period (which may explain the highly significant negative linear term). Yearling summer survival displayed a significant convex trend (and a significant positive linear term). There was no significant change in yearling winter survival, although survival did appear to decline in more recent years. Trends in adult summer and winter survival were not significant although confidence limits only just overlapped zero. Summer survival increased, while winter survival declined

(see SI Appendix, Fig. S3). Model selection revealed a single top model accounting for > 0.78 of the AICc weight (for full model comparison see SI Appendix, Table S1). The top model included a linear temporal trend for all season and state combinations, as well as a quadratic trend for pup and yearling summer and winter survival. Pup and yearling summer survival showed the biggest change increasing by 9 and 20%, respectively, while pup winter survival showed the biggest decline of 8%. Despite the divergent seasonal trends, the net change in survival across the study period was only slightly negative for pups (-3%) and positive for yearlings (7%), whereas for adults there was no discernible change. Although there was substantial monthly variation, the mean monthly recapture rate was 0.87 (SD = 0.16) for pups, 0.60 (SD = 0.20) for yearlings, and 0.49 (SD = 0.16) for adults (see SI Appendix, Fig. S4).

Change in environmental conditions over the study period

Environmental conditions within our study area have changed significantly over the past 40 years in accordance with climate change predictions (Fig. 2). The smoothing terms from the GAMs were significant for all environmental covariates, except snowmelt date, total snowfall and drought severity, which all showed significant linear trends (see SI Appendix, Table S2). Specifically, according to model predictions, mean minimum winter and mean maximum summer temperatures have both increased by 2°C (although winter temperatures were at one point 4°C warmer compared to the start of the study period); summers have become drier with a change in the drought severity index from predominantly wet summers (1.3) to mainly dry summers (-1.1), and the growing season has extended by as much as 50 days. Although total winter snowfall shows significant annual variation, there has been a significant decline in predicted snowfall of 3.5 m over the years, and snow is also melting about 16 days earlier in spring.

Mechanistic drivers of survival

We compared the top phenomenological model to the models including linear and quadratic effects of age on adult survival, and model selection revealed two top models, each accounting for 0.30 of the AICc weight; the top model included a linear effect of age on adult summer survival, and the second top model included a linear effect of age on both adult summer and winter survival. We used the simpler top model with just a linear effect of age on adult summer survival as our baseline model for exploring environmental drivers of trends in survival. Our mechanistic models revealed that different environmental factors appear to drive survival trends in the three age classes (Fig. 3). More specifically, pup summer survival was largely driven by total winter snowfall, where survival was higher in years of reduced snowfall ($\beta_{\text{snowfall}}=-0.39$; 95% CI=-0.55, -0.22; see also SI Appendix Fig. S5). Although the top model accounted for nearly all the AICc weight, there was some indication of a relationship between pup summer survival and snowmelt date ($\beta_{\text{snowmelt date}}=-0.28$; 95% CI=-0.45, -0.11). In contrast, pup winter survival was largely driven by drought severity and the length of the growing season, where survival was higher following shorter wetter summers ($\beta_{\text{drought}}=0.31$; 95% CI=0.13, 0.50; $\beta_{\text{growing season}}=-0.28$; 95% CI=-0.42, -0.16). Although the model did not account for much of the weight there was also an indication that pup winter survival was higher during colder winters ($\beta_{\text{winter temp}}=-0.25$; 95% CI=-0.42, -0.08). Yearling summer survival was driven by summer conditions including maximum temperatures and the length of the growing season, where survival was higher during warmer longer summers ($\beta_{\text{summer temp}}=0.22$; 95% CI=0.11, 0.35; $\beta_{\text{growing season}}=0.13$; 95% CI=-0.002, 0.25). There was no change in yearling winter survival over the course of the study and no clear relationship with environmental factors most likely due to the large variation. There was a significant negative relationship between adult summer survival and age ($\beta_{\text{age}}=-0.18$; 95% CI=-0.28, -0.09). None of the environmental covariates were significant, although the confidence limits for winter minimum and summer maximum temperatures only just overlapped zero indicating weak positive relationships with higher survival following a warmer winter ($\beta_{\text{winter temp}}=0.16$; 95% CI=-0.07, 0.39) and during a warmer summer ($\beta_{\text{summer temp}}=0.19$; 95% CI=-0.08, 0.45). Adult winter survival was largely driven by drought severity and perhaps also the date of

snowmelt (although confidence limits slightly overlap zero), where survival was higher following a wetter summer ($\beta_{\text{drought}}=0.38$; 95% CI=0.09, 0.67) and spring periods where snow melted later ($\beta_{\text{snowmelt date}}=0.26$; 95% CI=-0.04, 0.55).

For winter survival the effect of continued climate change was likely to be mainly negative, whereas for summer survival the likely outcome of climate change was generally positive. There were six sets of mechanistic models, one for each combination of age-class and season, separately exploring the relationship between environmental covariates, phenotype, age (adults only) and survival (for model selection results see SI Appendix, Table S3). Across age-classes and seasons there was a significant positive relationship between survival and body mass. Body mass had a stronger influence on pup survival in winter compared to summer. The influence of body mass on yearling summer survival was equal to that of pups, but the effect of body mass on adult survival was strong in both seasons, although there was a lot of variation (see SI Appendix, Fig. S6).

Discussion

Here we explored seasonal differences in survival trends of a mammal occupying a highly seasonal environment and the likely environmental drivers of variation in survival. Our findings illustrate important contrasting trends in survival between seasons. For winter survival, the trend was generally negative for all three age-classes, whereas summer survival was generally positive. Younger age-classes have shown the biggest net change in survival, whereas adults appear more robust in their response to environmental change. Our mechanistic models revealed that different environmental covariates are driving survival trends across the three age-classes, and that age classes can respond differently to the same environmental covariate (e.g., length of the growing season) between seasons. Our results indicate that the outcome of climate change can differ between seasons and age-classes, and we suspect that this pattern is likely to be common in such highly seasonal environments. Overall, should the patterns we observed in the selected environmental variables continue, climate change will likely have a positive effect on marmot summer

survival, but a contrasting negative effect on winter survival. In other words, our findings reveal that marmots are most vulnerable during their long season of hibernation compared to the shorter growing season. During hibernation marmots depend on energy stores acquired over the summer and particular conditions to remain in deep torpor maintaining a low metabolic rate (29, 39). Interestingly, trends in winter survival were driven by conditions during the preceding summer, likely impacting individual fitness prior to hibernation, whereas for summer survival both summer and winter environmental conditions influenced the probability of survival.

More specifically, regarding the likely outcome of continued climate change, pup summer survival would benefit from reduced winter snowfall (and early snowmelt), most likely as a result of reproductive females being in better condition. Extended growing seasons and increased drought severity impacting food and water availability would be detrimental to pup winter survival. A long-term study of alpine marmots found a significant decline in annual pup survival in response to colder and dryer winters reducing the insulating effect of snow and thereby increasing energetic costs of hibernation (40). This is different to our study system, where although snowfall has declined, winters have generally become warmer. The negative relationship between survival and the length of the growing season observed here is notable given that Ozgul *et al.* (31) proposed that the increase in marmot body mass and hence survival observed in their study was likely the result of the extended growing season and time for marmots to gain weight before hibernation. However, CaraDonna *et al.* (7) showed that while the growing season has significantly extended, total floral abundance across the season has remained the same, suggesting that functionally, there may not be more forage available for herbivores. Ultimately, shorter growing seasons where food is compressed may be more beneficial for the animals depending on them. Aldridge *et al.* (41) highlighted that shifts in species-level flowering phenology have resulted in a much more distinct bimodal distribution of flower abundance with a mid-season period of low abundance. This mid-season low may well coincide with the time when pups emerge from the burrows to forage on plants explaining why they show the strongest response to

changes in the length of the growing season. Lastly, longer growing seasons may also leave young marmots more vulnerable to late season predation.

Unexpectedly, yearling summer survival benefits from warmer summers. However, we suspect this will change as summers are predicted to continue to warm and become drier, as this would ultimately impact the water content and persistence of food plants (42-44). Furthermore, no marmot population is found in persistently warm or dry natural environments (4). Whether this result is an artefact of changes in permanent emigration during hot summers is unknown, although similar findings were presented in a long-term study of alpine marmots (40). Nevertheless, yearlings with their smaller body size may not be as vulnerable to heat stress compared to adults (4). In contrast to pups, yearling summer survival seemed to benefit from a longer growing season.

There was no significant change in adult summer survival and no significant relationships with any of the environmental variables. Similar to pups, adult winter survival was negatively impacted by increased drought severity during the preceding summer. Earlier work by Inouye *et al.* (12) showed that marmots are emerging earlier from hibernation in response to warming springs (38 days earlier between 1976 and 1999). The date of snowmelt has advanced over the time period (~16 days) although not as significantly as emergence dates, leading to a potential mismatch between marmot emergence and appearance of forage plants. If marmots are timing their emergence according to temperature cues, but having to then wait for snow to melt before forage plants can emerge, this mismatch may have a negative impact on their fitness and hence survival.

Unexpectedly, our results indicate that adult winter survival was higher when snow melted later. It is possible that early snowmelt allows predators, such as coyotes, access to colonies as shown in studies of Olympic marmots where mortality increased significantly when snowpack was low (4). Conversely, it may also be that predation risk is higher when snow melts later as escape burrows are covered. Group size has been shown to be important for survival in other species either through reducing predation risk (45) or thermoregulatory benefits of social hibernation (46). However, previous work on yellow-bellied marmots

revealed a non-linear relationship between matriline size and survivorship (47), and that the strength of the social relationship was more important than group size, but this depended on the age and sex of the individual (48). Furthermore, colony size within our study population is quite dynamic as a result of births, deaths, and dispersal and therefore difficult to integrate accurately. Because of this, we decided not to include density dependence in our already complex models.

Our results illustrate disparate seasonal demographic responses to climate change, suggesting that seasonal survival responses need disentangling to fully understand the impact of climate change on the dynamics of animal populations. Critically our findings highlight the care that should be taken in drawing conclusions from annual survival responses to climate change, as this may be a misinterpretation, simplification or even underestimate of the actual more complex responses that can differ dramatically at different times of the year. For yellow-bellied marmots, longer summers and shorter winters were expected to be beneficial, however, these relationships are clearly more complex with contrasting seasonal responses. Given the fact that marmot survival was generally declining during winter in response to summer conditions, this study suggests that continued climate change could tip the energy balance for this small mammal. Small mammals have generally been considered less vulnerable to extinctions compared to medium-sized and larger mammals (49-51). One explanation is because many small mammals reduce their energy expenditure when conditions are poor (e.g. low temperatures or food shortages) either using daily torpor or multi-day hibernation which is the most effective way to conserve energy (52). Torpor is utilised by mammals from more than half of the mammalian orders spread across all climate zones, including the tropics (53-55). Of the mammals that have gone extinct in recent times, only a small percentage were heterothermic species that used torpor to some extent (52). It now seems that climate induced environmental changes, particularly during this crucial period of hibernation, may make hibernators, which are also often restricted to mountain tops limiting distribution shifts, more vulnerable. As well as the direct impacts, warming may permit homeotherms to move in increasing competition and predation (5).

Social, burrowing, herbivorous mammals, like marmots, play an important role in ecosystem function and the loss or decline of these species would have wider reaching implications for biodiversity (56). Climate change is pervasive and species all over the world face changes in seasonal conditions emphasising the importance of disentangling seasonal demographic responses.

Material and Methods

Study system

Yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventer*) are large (3.4-6.4 kg), hibernating subalpine rodents found in western North America (30, 57-58). These animals go through seasonal cycles of body mass, which for adults can vary by as much as a couple of kilograms (up to ~40% change; 30). Due to their distinct circannual lifestyle, highly seasonal montane habitat and sensitivity to environmental conditions, yellow-bellied marmots are an ideal species to explore seasonal responses to climate change. We used data from the population located in the Upper East River Valley, Colorado, in and around the Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory (RMBL), which has been studied since 1962. The population comprises four main colonies and 12 satellite colonies distributed between 2700-3100 m above sea level.

Live trapping

We used live trapping data from 1979 to 2018 (an interval during which we had high quality environmental data and extensive trapping effort) to construct capture histories for each individual. A significant effort was employed to trap all individuals of the population at least once each year between May and September. Between 48 and 332 individual marmots have been trapped annually since 1962. Individuals were marked with both fur dye and permanent ear tags with unique ID numbers (59). Individuals are also weighed during each capture. All research was conducted with ethics approval from the University of Kansas or the University of California Los Angeles, and with permits issued by the Colorado Parks and Wildlife.

Environmental data

We include six environmental variables namely (i) winter snowfall (cm), (ii) winter temperature (mean minimum temperature (°C) between November and March), (iii) summer temperature (mean maximum July temperature (°C)), (iv) snowmelt date (bare ground) in spring, and (v) length of the growing season (days), data for which have been collected at RMBL for the entire period. We include (vi) a measure of drought severity, which was calculated using the Palmer Drought Severity Index (PDSI) using precipitation and temperature data for Crested Butte and calibrated for RMBL, which was collected from the National Centres for Environmental Information (NCEI) Climate Data Online; negative PDSI values indicate drier than average conditions while positive values indicate wetter than average conditions. All environmental covariates were zero centred and thus standardized to compare effect sizes.

These environmental variables have previously been shown to be important for marmot fitness. Adequate winter snowfall is important to keep burrows insulated allowing marmots to remain in deep torpor while minimizing energy expenditure (60). Years of low snow cover have been shown to increase mortality of marmots (26), most likely as a result of colder temperatures inside the burrow, thereby increasing energy expenditure (60). Marmots use spring temperatures as a cue to emerge from hibernation, but while spring temperatures have warmed and marmots are emerging earlier from hibernation, the average snowmelt date has not advanced as significantly creating a potential mismatch in phenologies (12). Prolonged snow cover in spring has a negative impact on marmot survival and reproduction (58), and marmots have to wait longer for food plants to appear (61). During the summer marmots are sensitive to warmer temperatures as a result of having a relatively large body size (4). To avoid thermal stress, they are likely to reduce aboveground activity, which consequently reduces the amount of time spent foraging (4). The growing season has extended as a result of shifts in flowering phenology (7), and Ozgul *et al.* (31) demonstrated an increase in body size and hence survival of marmots apparently in response to an extended growing season, potentially allowing marmots more time to gain weight before the

next winter. The length of the plant growing season was calculated as the number of days between the appearance of first flowers and last flowers (7); community-level flowering onset occurs within a few days of snowmelt and flowering proceeds until the end of the season, terminating with late-summer frost events or significant snowfall. Lastly, marmots obtain water from food plants and droughts can therefore significantly impact their growth and survival (62). This is particularly true for the month of July, which is characterized by a summer monsoon. The level of precipitation during this period will greatly influence plant growth during the latter part of the summer. To explore trends in environmental covariates across the period, we fitted generalised additive models (see SI Appendix, Table S2).

Data analysis

Capture-mark-recapture (CMR) models were constructed in R (v3.6.1; 63) within the package RMark (64), which fitted the models in MARK v9.0 (65). Model selection was conducted using Akaike's Information Criterion corrected for small sample size (AICc) and AICc model weights. Effect sizes of individual parameters were evaluated using β -estimates (slopes) and their 95% confidence intervals.

Multistate mark-recapture models

Capture-mark-recapture data were analysed using a multistate CMR model, which included the following model parameters: probability of survival (S), recapture probability (p), and state transition probability conditional on survival (ψ). The trapping season (April–August/September) where marmots were active was split into five monthly primary occasions to limit heterogeneity in the interval between capture occasions, namely the summer occasions, while the rest of the year (September to March) made up the monthly winter occasions, although there were no observations during this time (see Fig. 4). Only “known-age females” were used in our analyses ($n = 1506$) because males often disperse before the end of their second year making it difficult to discriminate between survival and dispersal. Individuals were assigned to one of three states based on their age: pup (< 1 year

old), yearling (1 year old), and adult (≥ 2 year old). The uneven time intervals between occasions were accounted for within the model whereby the time intervals between the monthly summer occasions were set to one, while the interval between August and April the following year was set to eight). Furthermore, we wanted the flexibility to separately explore temporal trends in and mechanistic drivers of survival between seasons and age-classes. Therefore, instead of using season and state as design covariates, we decoupled these into six binary indicator variables of 'pup summer', 'pup winter', 'yearling summer', 'yearling winter', 'adult summer', and 'adult winter'. Because of the monthly variation in recapture across the trapping season we created a continuous covariate of capture effort; the ratio of numbers of non-pups captured in a given month relative to the total number of non-pups captured that year. This capture effort effect was added to each state and season binary indicator variable. Because of the very low numbers of individuals trapped in April, we fixed the recapture probability for this month to 0, and for pups we also fixed the May recapture probability to 0 as no pups had been trapped during the month. All state transition probabilities were fixed to either 0 or 1. Infeasible state transitions from pup to adult and from all older to younger age-classes were fixed at 0. State transitions between summer months were fixed to 1 as individuals had to remain in the same age-class. Transitions between years from pup in August to yearling in April, yearling in August to adult in April, and adult in August to adult in April were fixed to 1. Therefore all models included a constant ψ . Models were constructed to explore both the phenomenological time trends in state-specific survival, the influence of age on adult survival, and the mechanistic influence of the environmental covariates on state-specific survival within each season. We ran goodness of fit testing in the program U-CARE for multistate models (JollyMove) including tests for transience, trap-dependence, and the complementary tests 3G.SM and M.LTEC (65). The overall \hat{c} (a measure of overdispersion) was calculated by dividing the sum of X^2 values from all test components with the sum of the degrees of freedom, which indicated there was no evidence of overdispersion ($\hat{c} = 1.02$). Furthermore, we refitted a random selection of models twice using initial values from the original model and compared β -estimates from the refitted

models to 3 decimal places. These refitted models revealed that our models were reaching the global maxima rather than a local maxima, which has been identified as an issue with multistate models.

Phenomenological trends in survival

The phenomenological models describe the temporal trends in survival without attempting to explain the underlying biological processes. In order to estimate and compare seasonal trends in monthly survival, we created a year variable, which contained the same value for all six summer occasions and the single winter occasion within a year. We used this new year variable to evaluate time trends (T). This also meant that we only estimated a single (monthly) survival estimate per season per year. We constructed a set of phenomenological models containing either a linear time trend (T) or a quadratic effect (T²) on the six binary indicator variables described above (for full model syntax see SI Appendix, Table S1).

Because of the long time-period that the study covered, year was rescaled [$\text{year}_{\text{rescaled}} = (\text{year} - \text{year}_{\text{avg}}) / \text{year}_{\text{sd}}$], which allowed β -estimates for the linear and quadratic terms to be similar in magnitude.

Body mass imputations

We incorporated body mass (measured in grams) as a time-varying individual covariate in this analysis. To do so a measure of body mass is required for each primary occasion after the individual is first trapped as a pup until the end of the time series (even though the animal may no longer be present or alive). These time-varying individual covariates are therefore typically restricted to variables such as age that do not require continual capture. However, we were able to impute body mass for each individual twice during each year following their first capture using a similar approach to Ozgul *et al.* (31) (for more details on the modelling procedure see SI Appendix). Body mass measurements were log transformed (following Ozgul *et al.* (31)). For summer survival, we used body mass imputations from the 15 July for pups as pups only emerge early summer, and from the 1 June for yearlings and

adults. For winter survival, we used body mass imputations for the 31 August for all age-classes.

Mechanistic drivers of trends in survival

Our mechanistic models describe the environmental or phenotypic (i.e. body mass) processes driving the phenomenological patterns in marmot survival. Before exploring environmental drivers of trends in survival, we first tested the effect of age on adult survival (as pup and yearling stages only involved one year). We used the top phenomenological model and included a linear effect of age on both summer and winter adult survival, linear effect of age on just summer or winter survival, and finally a quadratic effect of age on both summer and winter adult survival. The top model would become the baseline model from which we constructed our mechanistic models. However, to reduce the number of possible mechanistic models (i.e., given six environmental covariates and six season-state combinations), we explored the influence of environmental covariates on each state-season pair in turn, resulting in six model sets; one for each of the binary indicator variables (i.e., summer pup, winter pup, summer yearling, winter yearling, summer adult, and winter adult survival). For example, when exploring the mechanistic drivers of pup summer survival, the binary indicator variable 'pup summer', and the interaction between 'pup summer' and body mass (time varying individual covariate) formed the basis of the model. Environmental variables (time varying individual covariates) were included as interactions with 'pup summer'. All other binary indicator variables (state-season combinations) were the same as in the baseline model. For summer pup survival, we only explored the effects of total snowfall (could indirectly influence fitness of reproductive females, as well as green up through snowmelt around the time pups emerge), snowmelt date (i.e., date of bare ground), summer temperature, and drought on their survival. For yearling and adult summer survival, we used the effect of the length of the growing season from the previous summer (i.e. lag growing season), as the growing season likely would not have ended prior to the last

sighting of the individual. We constructed models with one, two or three environmental covariates, which were not collinear.

Data deposition

The capture histories including age and body mass as time-varying individual covariates and a separate data file including growing season length have been deposited in the Dryad Digital Repository: <insert link>. The complete flowering phenology dataset used to calculate growing season length is archived here: <https://osf.io/jt4n5/>. RMBL environmental data (including total snowfall, mean minimum monthly temperatures, and snowmelt date) can be downloaded from: <http://www.gothicwx.org/>. The monthly average temperature and total precipitation data for Crested Butte that was used to calculate a calibrated drought severity index for RMBL was downloaded from the National Centres for Environmental Information (NCEI) Climate Data Online (<https://www.ncei.noaa.gov/>). Code used to run analyses is available upon request.

Acknowledgements

Marmots were studied under annual permits issued by the Colorado Parks and Wildlife (most recently via TR-917). All procedures were approved under research protocols approved by the University of Kansas and more recently by ARC 2001-191-01 approved by the University of California Los Angeles Animal Care Committee on May 13, 2002, and renewed annually. Line Cordes and Arpat Ozgul were supported by the Swiss National Science Foundation (31003A_182286 and 31003A_182286). Dan Blumstein was supported by the National Geographic Society, UCLA (Faculty Senate and the Division of Life Sciences), a Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory research fellowship, and by the NSF (IDBR-0754247, and DEB-1119660 and 1557130 to D.T.B., as well as DBI 0242960, 0731346, and 1226713 to the Rocky Mountain Biological Laboratory). We thank all the marmoteers who helped in data collection as well as Billy Barr and the Rocky Mountain

Biological Laboratory for the long-term collection of local environmental data. Installation, maintenance and publication of data from the RMBL weather stations has been supported by NSF award (MRI- 0821369) and DOE funding as well as ongoing operational support from RMBL, the Upper Gunnison River Water Conservancy District and the LBNL Science Focus Area 2.0 project. We would also like to thank David Inouye and Nora Underwood for providing access to the flowering phenology data. Brian Gerber was supported by the USDA National Institute of Food and Agriculture, Hatch Formula project 1017848. Yellow-bellied marmot symbol in the model schematic was provided courtesy of the Integration and Application Network, University of Maryland Center for Environmental Science (ian.umces.edu/symbols/). A special thanks to the Department of Fish, Wildlife, and Conservation Biology at Colorado State University for hosting Line Cordes as a research scientist.

References

- 554 1. J.G. Ernakovich, K.A. Hopping, A.B. Berdanier, R.T. Simpson, E.J. Kachergis, H.
555 Steltzer, M.D. Wallenstein, Predicted responses of arctic and alpine ecosystems to
556 altered seasonality under climate change. *Global Change Biol.* **20**, 3256-3269 (2014).
- 557 2. M.S. Boyce, Seasonality and patterns of natural selection for life histories. *Am. Nat.* **114**,
558 569-583 (1979).
- 559 3. Ø. Varpe, Life history adaptations to seasonality. *Integr. Comp. Biol.* **57**, 943-960 (2017).
- 560 4. K.B. Armitage, Climate change and the conservation of marmots. *Nat. Sci.* **5**, 36-43
561 (2013).
- 562 5. F. Geiser, Hibernation. *Curr. Biol.* **23**, R188-R193 (2013).
- 563 6. H. Steltzer, E. Post, Seasons and life cycles. *Science* **324**, 886-887 (2009).
- 564 7. P.J. CaraDonna, A.M. Iler, D.W. Inouye, Shifts in flowering phenology reshape a
565 subalpine plant community. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **11**, 4916–4921 (2014).
- 566 8. IPCC 2014: Climate Change 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I,
567 II and III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate
568 Change [Core Writing Team, R.K. Pachauri and L.A. Meyer (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva,
569 Switzerland, 151 pp.
- 570 9. IPCC 2018: Summary for Policymakers. In: Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special
571 Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related
572 global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global
573 response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to
574 eradicate poverty [V. Masson-Delmotte, P. Zhai, H.-O. Pörtner, D. Roberts, J. Skea, P.R.
575 Shukla, A. Pirani, W. Moufouma-Okia, C. Péan, R. Pidcock, S. Connors, J.B.R.
576 Matthews, Y. Chen, X. Zhou, M.I. Gomis, E. Lonnoy, Maycock, M. Tignor, and T.
577 Waterfield (eds.)]. World Meteorological Organization, Geneva, Switzerland, 32 pp.

- 578 10. P. Mote, A.F. Hamlet, M.P. Clark, D.P. Lettenmaier. Declining mountain snowpack in
579 western North America. *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 39–49 (2005).
- 580 11. J. Overpeck, B. Udall, Dry Times Ahead. *Science* **328**, 1642–1643 (2010).
- 581 12. D.W. Inouye, B. Barr, K.B. Armitage, B.D. Inouye, Climate change is affecting altitudinal
582 migrants and hibernating species. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 1630–1633 (2000).
- 583 13. M.M. Humphries, D.W. Thomas, J.R. Speakman, Climate-mediated energetic
584 constraints on the distribution of hibernating mammals. *Nature* **418**, 313–316 (2002).
- 585 14. G.R. Walther, E. Post, P. Convey, A. Menzel, C. Parmesan, T.J. Beebee, J.M.
586 Fromentin, O. Hoegh-Guldberg, F. Bairlein. Ecological responses to recent climate
587 change. *Nature* **416**, 389–395 (2002).
- 588 15. J.-M. Gaillard, N.G. Yoccoz, Temporal variation in survival of mammals: a case of
589 environmental canalization? *Ecology* **84**, 3294–3306 (2003).
- 590 16. B.-E. Sæther, Ø. Bakke, Avian life history variation and contribution of demographic
591 traits to the population growth rate. *Ecology* **81**, 642–653 (2000).
- 592 17. A. Ozgul, A.W. Bateman, S. English, T. Coulson, T.H. Clutton-Brock, Linking body mass
593 and group dynamics in an obligate cooperative breeder. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **83**, 1357–1366
594 (2014).
- 595 18. S.N. Atkinson, M.A. Ramsay, The effects of prolonged fasting of the body composition
596 and reproductive success of female polar bears (*Ursus maritimus*). *Funct. Ecol.*, 559–567
597 (1995).
- 598 19. C.L. Buck, B.M. Barnes, Annual cycle of body composition and hibernation in free-living
599 arctic ground squirrels. *J. Mammal.* **80**, 430–442 (1999).

- 600 20. M. Douhard, S. Guillemette, M. Festa-Bianchet, F. Pelletier, Drivers and demographic
601 consequences of seasonal mass changes in an alpine ungulate. *Ecology* **99**, 724-734
602 (2018).
- 603 21. D. John, Annual lipid cycles in hibernators: integration of physiology and
604 behavior. *Annu. Rev. Nutr.* **25**, 469-497 (2005).
- 605 22. J.F. Aublet, M. Festa-Bianchet, D. Bergero, B. Bassano, Temperature constraints on
606 foraging behaviour of male Alpine ibex (*Capra ibex*) in summer. *Oecologia* **159**, 237-247
607 (2009).
- 608 23. K. Krajick, All downhill from here? *Science* **303**, 1600-1602 (2004).
- 609 24. J.C. Melcher, K.B. Armitage, W.P. Porter, Thermal influences on the activity and
610 energetics of yellow-bellied marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*). *Physiol. Zool.* **63**, 803-820
611 (1990).
- 612 25. C. Bellard, C. Bertelsmeier, P. Leadley, W. Thuiller, F. Courchamp, Impacts of climate
613 change on the future of biodiversity. *Ecol. Lett.* **15**, 365–377 (2012).
- 614 26. D.P. Barash, The Social Biology of the Olympic Marmot. *Anim. Behav. Monographs* **6**,
615 171–245 (1973).
- 616 27. T.T. Høye, E. Post, H. Møltøfte, N.M. Schmidt, M.C. Forchhammer, Rapid advancement
617 of spring in the High Arctic. *Curr. Biol.* **17**, R449-R451 (2007).
- 618 28. A.M. Iler, T.T. Høye, D.W. Inouye, N.M. Schmidt. Nonlinear flowering responses to
619 climate: are species approaching their limits of phenological change? *Philos. T. Roy.*
620 *Soc. B.* **368**, 20120489 (2013).
- 621 29. K.B. Armitage, D.T. Blumstein, B.C. Woods, Energetics of hibernating yellow-bellied
622 marmots (*Marmota flaviventris*). *Comp. Biochem. Phys. A.* **134**, 101-114 (2003).

- 623 30. K.B. Armitage, D.T. Blumstein, Body-mass diversity in marmots. In: Armitage, K.B. and
624 Rumiantsev, V.Yu., Eds., Holarctic Marmots as a Factor of Biodiversity, ABF Publishing
625 House, Moscow, 22-32 (2002).
- 626 31. A. Ozgul, D.Z. Childs, M.K. Oli, K.B. Armitage, D.T. Blumstein, L.E. Olson, S.
627 Tuljapurkar, T. Coulson, Coupled dynamics of body mass and population growth in
628 response to environmental change. *Nature* **466**, 482–485 (2010).
- 629 32. J.M. Cushing, S.M. Henson, Periodic matrix models for seasonal dynamics of structured
630 populations with application to a seabird population. *J. Math. Biol.* **77**, 1689-1720 (2018).
- 631 33. J.A. Hostetler, T.S. Sillett, P.P. Marra, Full-annual-cycle population models for migratory
632 birds. *Auk* **132**, 433-449 (2015).
- 633 34. R.D. Bassar, B.H. Letcher, K.H. Nislow, A.R. Whiteley, Changes in seasonal climate
634 outpace compensatory density-dependence in eastern brook trout. *Global Change Biol.*
635 **22**, 577-593 (2016).
- 636 35. R. Salguero-Gomez, W. Siewert, B.B. Casper, K. Tielborger, A demographic approach
637 to study effects of climate change in desert plants. *Philos. T. Roy. Soc. B.* **367**, 3100-
638 3114 (2012).
- 639 36. M. Douhard, S. Guillemette, M. Festa-Bianchet, F. Pelletier, Drivers and demographic
640 consequences of seasonal mass changes in an alpine ungulate. *Ecology* **99**, 724-734
641 (2018).
- 642 37. M. Paniw, N. Maag, G. Cozzi, T. Clutton-Brock, A. Ozgul, Life history responses of
643 meerkats to seasonal changes in extreme environments. *Science* **363**, 631-635 (2019).
- 644 38. N.G. Yoccoz, R.A. Ims, Demography of small mammal in cold regions: the importance
645 of environmental variability. *Ecol. Bull.* **47**, 137-144 (1999).
- 646 39. S.L. Lindstedt, M.S. Boyce, Seasonality, fasting endurance, and body size in mammals.
647 *Am. Nat.* **125**, 873-878 (1985).

- 648 40. C. Rézouki, M. Tafani, A. Cohas, A. Loison, J.M. Gaillard, D. Allainé, C. Bonenfant,
649 Socially mediated effects of climate change decrease survival of hibernating Alpine
650 marmots. *J. Anim. Ecol.* **85**, 761-773 (2016).
- 651 41. G. Aldridge, D.W. Inouye, J.R. Forrest, W.A. Barr, A.J. Miller-Rushing, Emergence of a
652 mid-season period of low floral resources in a montane meadow ecosystem associated
653 with climate change. *J. Ecol.* **99**, 905-913 (2011).
- 654 42. M. Farooq, A. Wahid, N. Kobayashi, D.B.S.M.A. Fujita, S.M.A. Basra, Plant drought
655 stress: effects, mechanisms and management. In *Sustainable agriculture* (pp. 153-188).
656 Springer, Dordrecht (2009).
- 657 43. D.R. Campbell, Early snowmelt projected to cause population decline in a subalpine
658 plant. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **116**, 12901-12906 (2019).
- 659 44. A.M. Iler, A. Compagnoni, D.W. Inouye, J.L. Williams, P.J. CaraDonna, A. Anderson,
660 T.E. Miller, Reproductive losses due to climate change-induced earlier flowering are not
661 the primary threat to plant population viability in a perennial herb. *J. Ecol.* **107**, 1931–
662 1943 (2019).
- 663 45. E. Sorato, P.R. Gullett, S.C. Griffith, A.F. Russell, Effects of predation risk on foraging
664 behaviour and group size: adaptations in a social cooperative species. *Anim. Behav.* **84**,
665 823-834 (2012).
- 666 46. W. Arnold, Social thermoregulation during hibernation in alpine marmots (*Marmota*
667 *marmota*). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **158**, 151-156 (1988).
- 668 47. K.B. Armitage, O.A. Schwartz, Social enhancement of fitness in yellow-bellied
669 marmots. *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **97**, 12149-12152 (2000).
- 670 48. A.P. Montero, D.M. Williams, J.G. Martin, D.T. Blumstein, More social female yellow-
671 bellied marmots, *Marmota flaviventer*, have enhanced summer survival. *Anim.*
672 *Behav.* **160**, 113-119 (2020).

- 673 49. M. Cardillo, Biological determinants of extinction risk: why are smaller species less
674 vulnerable? *Anim. Conserv.* **6**, 63–69 (2003).
- 675 50. M. Cardillo, G.M. Mace, K.E. Jones, J. Bielby, O.R. Bininda-Emonds, W. Sechrest,
676 C.D.L. Orme, A. Purvis. Multiple causes of high extinction risk in large mammal
677 species. *Science* **309**, 1239-1241 (2005).
- 678 51. R. Dirzo, H.S. Young, M. Galetti, G. Ceballos, N.J. Isaac, B. Collen, Defaunation in the
679 Anthropocene. *Science* **345**, 401-406 (2014).
- 680 52. F. Geiser, C. Turbill, Hibernation and daily torpor minimize mammalian extinctions.
681 *Naturwissenschaften* **96**, 1235-1240 (2009).
- 682 53. F. Geiser, Metabolic rate and body temperature reduction during hibernation and daily
683 torpor. *Annu. Rev. Physiol.* **66**, 239–274 (2004).
- 684 54. J. Schmid, J.U. Ganzhorn, Optional strategies for reduced metabolism in gray mouse
685 lemurs. *Naturwissenschaften* **96**, 737-741 (2009).
- 686 55. C. Stawski, C. Turbill, F. Geiser, Hibernation by a free-ranging subtropical bat
687 (*Nyctophilus bifax*). *J. Comp. Physiol. B* **179**, 433-441 (2009).
- 688 56. A.D. Davidson, J.K. Detling, J.H. Brown, Ecological roles and conservation challenges
689 of social, burrowing, herbivorous mammals in the world's grasslands. *Front. Ecol.*
690 *Environ.* **10**, 477-486 (2012).
- 691 57. B.A. Frase, R.S. Hoffmann, *Marmota flaviventris*. *Mammalian Species* **135**, 1-8 (1980).
- 692 58. K.B. Armitage, Recovery of a yellow-bellied marmot population following a weather-
693 induced decline. In: Ramousse, R., Allainé, D. and Le Berre, M., Eds., Adaptive
694 Strategies and Diversity in Marmots, International Marmot Network, Lyon, 217-224
695 (2003).

59. K.B. Armitage, Yellow-bellied marmot. CRC handbook of census methods for terrestrial vertebrates, 148-149 (1982).
60. W. Arnold, G. Heldmaier, S. Ortmann, H. Pohl, T. Ruf, S. Steinlechner, Ambient temperatures in hibernacula and their energetic consequences for alpine marmots *Marmota marmota*. *J. Therm. Biol.* **16**, 223-226 (1991).
61. D. Van Vuren, K.B. Armitage, Duration of snow cover and its influence on life-history variation in yellow-bellied marmots. *Can. J. Zool.* **69**, 1755–1758 (1991).
62. K.B. Armitage, Unusual mortality in a yellow-bellied marmot population. In: Rumiantsev, V.Yu., Ed., Actual Problems of Marmots Investigation, ABF Publishing House, Moscow, 5-13 (1994).
63. R Core Team, R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2019). URL <https://www.R-project.org/>.
64. J.L. Laake, RMark: An R Interface for analysis of capture-recapture data with MARK. AFSC Processed Rep. 2013-01, 25 p. Alaska Fish. Sci. Cent., NOAA, Natl. Mar. Fish. Serv., 7600 Sand Point Way NE, Seattle WA 98115 (2013).
65. G.C. White, K.P. Burnham, Program MARK: survival estimation from populations of marked animals. *Bird Study* **46**, 120–139 (1999).
66. R. Choquet, J.D. Lebreton, O. Gimenez, A.M. Reboulet, R. Pradel, U-CARE: Utilities for performing goodness of fit tests and manipulating CAPture–REcapture data. *Ecography*, **32**, 1071-1074 (2009).

Figures

Figure 1. Estimated trends in summer and winter monthly survival from the phenomenological model.

Figure 2. Temporal variation in environmental variables between 1979-2018. Grey dots and line represent raw data, whereas the coloured line and shaded area is the predicted relationship and 95% confidence limits from the GAMs (see also SI Appendix, Table S2). For season length, there were no data from 1990 and 1994. The data points for these years are the predicted values.

Figure 3. β -estimates of top model parameters by season and age-class. 'Significant' relationships between survival and the environmental covariates are coloured either blue or red (confidence intervals do not overlap zero). Blue indicates that the likely climate change outcome for marmot survival will be positive, whereas red suggests a negative outcome. For example, there is a positive relationship between pup winter survival and drought severity during the previous summer (survival is greater following a wetter summer), and therefore should climate change as predicted result in drier summer conditions this will have a negative impact on pup winter survival.

Figure 4. Model schematic of the seasonal multistate mark-recapture modelling framework showing monthly survival and recapture probabilities between occasions during the active summer season (dashed line arrows), as well as seasonal survival probability (S) across the summer and winter seasons (solid line arrows). Transition probabilities between states were not included on schematic as all transitions were fixed to either 0 or 1.